

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,438 03/26/2004		Toshio Sugiura	118735	8113	
25944	7590 03/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CULLER	CULLER, JILL E	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, ··		2854		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/809,438	SUGIURA, TOSHIO	SUGIURA, TOSHIO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jill E. Culler	2854			

	Jill E. Culler	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice or ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or one TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amous thortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	house and a season should be a season of Citizen as had	. f 111	
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 10 and 24.		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10 and 24.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-23 and 25.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		rew H. Hirshfeld Ory patent examm:	TR .
		OILL LY CENTRED OF USE	<del></del> -

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although the added claim limitation does appear to distinguish the claims over the outstanding rejection, it raises a new issue which requires further search and consideration.